Application No. Applicant(s) 10/604,150 BOLDE ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Tai Van Nguyen 3729 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Tai Van Nguyen, examiner. (1) Lisa U. Jaklitsch, applicants. (2) Carl J. Arbes, examiner. (4)____. Date of Interview: 15 February 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) ∏ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-20. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants have been agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Iz Ohle Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.